Dear Howard,

9/20/75

You have no idea how much I wanted to try to entice you to stay here another day or two when all I had in mind then was how I felt and much better it would be if you, personally, could complete what you had in mind! But I felt you had made a sacrifice and I could not ask you to make further sacrifice. You had little free time between sessions.

Since then I have regretted it more and for other reason. When you left chaos came. All sorts of developments I did not forsee. They have taken an enormous emotional and physical toll. This is probably why I may have reacted stronger to your Policoff call.

The situation with the Enquirer became frantic. I think it is all resolved.

Fortunately I had gone over your hand notes and typed them for when I had a good ribbon. Then with all these developments I finally decided the only safe way to type final copy on them was to get up early. So, beginning 4 a.m. I did that, all except those few about which I wrote you. If when I hear from you what you send still leaves me uncertain I'll just leave out what I'm not sure of. Unless I hear loudly from you. I think there will be few if any.

When I heard nothing from you last night I figured Jerry had not phone you, you had decided there was nothing to phone me about od you decided it would probably be better for my blood pressure not to tell me. Whichever it is, OK. MY anger was over the ethics and morality more than anything else. Let the *)('&%$#"! Post and my so-called "friends" do what they will. Possibly when I have everything else cleared up on PM and the other recent dirtiness I may write the editor a (for me)police caution. But I don't really care what they have or who does it. What I do care about is the misuse of my work. More than the theft, which I do resent, most of all when by the trusted.

There is another development I'd like you to keep an eye on if what you see has anything relevant. I've not yet seen yesterday's first of three series by Tad Szulc and another in the Star. Jim told me about it. I was again angry, angry enough not to register as clearly as I should have. He mentioned one word that has to be a dead giveaway:Nosenko. I anticipate this may turn out to be the equivalent of what the Archives did to me with Fred Graham. In this case I have several appeals pending and a suit filed. Plus they have used the 1/22 and 1/27 transcripts without reference to how I got and disclosed them. I've written a fairly hot letter to the editor of the Star, to go certified, addressee only this a.m. Naturally I also regret the deletion of that part of the tex, but don't feel bad about it. While I've learned to have faith in my instincts, I also knew that much had to be cut from this. Meanwhile, because Lil is slowed down much, I may address it in the space left in the appendix after the whole series is cut and I have a chance to hear further from CIA and Archives...We may have a similar situation with Anson/Bantam. I'm told the Anson book is all ripoff. I've written Bantam in the blind. We'll wait and see. But when the book is out I may want you to go over it and spot and identify each ripoff. If there is enough to do something about there is a case of initial prejudice with Bantam, which also supplied a vice president for crooked testimony for the State in the Ray case.